

Byers Gill Solar EN010139

8.11 Environmental Statement (ES) Errata and Management Plans Proposed Updates

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 8

Deadline 7 – January 2025

Revision 4



| Table of Contents | | Page |
|-------------------|---|------|
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. | Purpose of this document | 1 |
| 1.2. | Structure of this document | 1 |
| 2. | Environmental Statement updates | 3 |
| 3. | Management Plan updates | 9 |
| Tab | le of Tables | |
| Table | 2-1 ES Chapter, Figure and other Appendices updates | 3 |
| Table | 3-1 Management Plan updates | 9 |

1. Introduction

1.1. Purpose of this document

1.1.1. This document has been prepared to detail updates to and errata in the Environmental Statement (ES) and supporting Management Plans, submitted in support of Byers Gill Solar (the Proposed Development).

- 1.1.2. It is intended that during Examination, further points of clarification or amendments which arise through (but not limited to) the Written Questions, Written Representations and the Issue Specific Hearings would be added to this document which would remain live throughout.
- 1.1.3. Where the Applicant considers that a substantive change has been made to a document within the ES, including Outline Management Plans, it may, at its own discretion, submit a revised copy of that document during the Examination.
- 1.1.4. In this context, substantive can be defined as the provision of a new section or subsection within the referred to document, or sheet(s) of a Figure. It is important to recognise that even substantive changes will not have a material impact on the conclusions of the assessment provided in support of the DCO Application. These changes are provided in response to feedback received during the Examination.
- 1.1.5. The Applicant submits this version of the Errata (revision 3) at Deadline 5 of the Examination alongside both 'clean' and 'tracked' changed versions of the respective document(s). Should there be further changes required to ES documents, including management plans, a further version of this Errata will be submitted to reflect proposed or made changes.

1.2. Structure of this document

- 1.2.1. Section 2 of this document provides a summary of updates to the ES [APP-022 APP-162], which have been identified through the Examination and provides updates and amendments as appropriate.
- 1.2.2. These are presented in Table 2-1, and include the relevant document reference, details of the updates and amendments made and a rationale as to why these changes have been made and submitted to the Examination.
- 1.2.3. Section 3 of this document provides a summary of updates to the supporting Outline Management Plans [APP-110 119, APP-149 and AS-001], which have been identified through the Examination and provides updates and amendments as appropriate.
- 1.2.4. These are presented in Table 3-1, and include the relevant document reference, details of the updates and amendments made and a rationale as to why these changes have been made and submitted to the Examination.

1.2.5. Relevant to both tables, the latter two columns also identify the relevant Examination Deadline at which time the amend was added to this Errata document, and the relevant Examination Deadline at which time a 'clean' and 'tracked' revision of the corresponding document will be submitted into the Examination.

1.2.6. Importantly, if a previously made change gets superseded, Table 2-1 and Table 3-1 below identifies where a previous update has now been overridden and provides a reference to the new and correct information.

2. Environmental Statement updates

2.1.1. Table 2-1 has been provided to detail any amendments and/or updates to the ES [APP-022 – APP-162] which have been identified through the Examination.

Table 2-1 ES Chapter, Figure and other Appendices updates

| Document reference | Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Chapter 0 Contents, G | Blossary and Abbreviations | | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| Chapter 1 Introduction | n, associated Figures and ot | her Appendices | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| Chapter 2 The Propo | sed Development, associate | ed Figures and other Appendices | | |
| ES Figure 2.13 Underground Cable Routes [APP-051] | In response to ExQ1 GCT 1.10 [PD-004] | Two additional sheets added to show off-road routes only and on-road routes only Amendments made to shading of proposed cable routes to aid legibility Addition of proposed cable crossing points | Deadline 2 | ES Figure 2.13 Underground Cable Routes [REP2-022], submitted at Deadline 2. |
| ■ ES Figures 2.3 – 2.8 General Arrangement Plans [APP-041 – APP-046] | In response to ExQ1 PPD 1.11 [PD-004] | Updated to provide proposed number of BESS and inverters on Legend for each panel area Order Limits updated to reflect the Bishopton Design Change made 9 July 2024 | Deadline 2 | ES Figures 2.3 – 2.8 General Arrangement Plans [REP2-015 to REP2-021] submitted at Deadline 2 |
| Chapter 3 Alternative | es and Design Iteration, asso | ociated Figures and other Appendices | | |

| Document reference | Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| N/A | | | | | |
| Chapter 4 Approach t | to EIA, associated Figures a | nd other Appendices | | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| Chapter 5 Climate Ch | nange, associated Figures an | d other Appendices | | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| Chapter 6 Biodiversit | Chapter 6 Biodiversity, associated Figures and other Appendices | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| Chapter 7 Landscape | and Visual, associated Figur | res and other Appendices | | | |
| ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual [APP-030] | Confirmation of off- road cable routing through Bishopton, removing the need for on-road cable optionality within Order Limits | Removal of significant effect in Bishopton Paragraph 7.10.71 should now read that the revised effect resulting from the changes to the character of the settlement in Bishopton during construction would therefore be moderate/minor, adverse and not significant | Deadline 2 | Amended on 20 December 2024, in ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual (Revision 2) [AS-028/29] | |
| ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual [APP-030] | To respond to error raised in ExQ1 LSV 1.7 [PD-004] | Paragraph 7.8.2 should refer to paragraph 2.6.16 – 2.6.20 of ES Chapter 2 The Proposed Development [APP-025] and section 7.9 of ES Chapter 7 in respect of embedded mitigation and section 7.10 of ES Chapter 7 in relation to essential mitigation. | Deadline 2 | N/A | |
| ES Appendix 7.4 Viewpoint Analysis [APP-135] | Erroneous inclusion of PEIR Figures at DCO Application submission | Figures 7.4.1 A to 7.4.1 F to be removed and not considered as part of the DCO Application. These have been superseded by ES Figure 7.9 Visualisations [APP-071 to APP-074]. | Deadline 2 | N/A | |

| Document reference | Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual [APP-030] | Correct typo in Table7-12 | Changes to character of Bishopton (setting) during decommissioning should be described as slight/negligible, and not moderate/slight | Deadline 7 | Amended on 20 December 2024, in ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual (Revision 2) [AS-028/29] |
| ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual [APP-030] | Correct typo in paragraph 7.10.87 | Text referred to Viewpoint 3. Should have referred to Viewpoint 2. | Deadline 7 | Amended on 20 December 2024, in ES Chapter 7 Landscape and Visual (Revision 2) [AS-028/29] |
| Chapter 8 Cultural He | eritage and Archaeology, as | sociated Figures and other Appendices | | |
| ES Appendix 8.2 Historic Environment Settings Assessment [APP-146] | Typo identified through representations made at OFH3 [see page 7 of REP6-017 | Paragraphs 7.1.1 and 7.1.2 of ES Appendix 8.2 should be disregarded, as these were preliminary conclusions produced at the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) stage that were subsequently updated at the point of reevaluation for the ES. This re-evaluation was undertaken following consultation with Historic England. Paragraph 7.1.3 should state 'No harm to the significance of any designated assets was identified'. The correct reporting of the re-evaluation is provided in paragraphs 8.10.73 to 8.10.77 of ES Chapter 8 [APP-031]. | Deadline 7 | N/A |
| Chapter 9 Land Use and Socioeconomics, associated Figures and other Appendices | | | | |
| ES Chapter 9 Land Use and Socioeconomics [APP-032] | To response to matters raised by Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council (SBC) in Local Impact Report | Table 9-9 should include an additional row in relation to Footpath FP4 under Parish of Carlton (Stockton-on-Tees). This should state: Extent of impact: no change, footpath is unaffected by the Proposed Development and remains on current alignment. | Deadline 4 | N/A |

| Document reference | Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | [REP1-026] paragraph 8.4-8.6 | The on-road connection between FP4 and FP7 is identified as an important link by Stockton-on-Tees, connecting the wider PRoW network. Mitigation proposed: manage link from FP4 onto the highway network and onto FP4 where cable works are proposed. Article 17 of the draft DCO allows for such management measures on the highway and the exact mitigation will be confirmed by contractor through update to the PRoW MP prior to construction and subject to agreement with the LPA. This would lead to a minor change to the baseline conditions which is of low magnitude, leading to a minor adverse effect which would be temporary and short-term in nature. This would not be significant in EIA terms. | | |
| ES Chapter 9 Land Use and Socioeconomics [APP-032] | ■ To respond to matters raised by Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council (SBC) at ISH in relation to an area of Open Space near to the Norton Substation and the disused railway line which carries a Trafficfree cycle route. | Table 9.9 should include an additional row in relation to the cycle route along the dismantled railway line to the west of Norton Substation. This should state: Extent of Impact: no change, recreational route remains on current alignment. Mitigation proposed: management measures to ensure continued connectivity during cable laying, either through managed access or through use of HDD for cable installation. The outline PRoW Management Plan contains a commitment to keep the route open and the exact methods and mitigation will be agreed with Stockton through the final management plan. Significance of effect: this would lead to a minor change to the baseline which is temporary and short term in nature. The route is of medium sensitivity with a low magnitude of impact, leading to a minor adverse effect which is not significant in EIA terms. | Deadline 5 | N/A |

| Document reference | Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Chapter 10 Hydrolog | y and Flood Risk, associated | Figures and other Appendices | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| Chapter 11 Noise and | d Vibration, associated Figu | res and other Appendices | | |
| ES Chapter 11 Noise and Vibration [APP-034] | Addendum relating to construction noise assessment and updated figures provided at Deadline 4. | Paragraph 11.6.1 should refer to 43 ESRs as per the updated ES Figure 11.1 Sensitive Receptor Location Plan (Document reference 6.3.11.1, Revision 2) and ES Appendix 11.4 BS4142 Assessment Calculations (Document Reference 6.4.11.4, Revision 2). All references to ES Figure 11.1 and ES Appendix 11.4 should be superseded to refer to the updated versions provided at Deadline 4, under ES Figure 11.1 Sensitive Receptor Location Plan (Document reference 6.3.11.1, Revision 2) and ES Appendix 11.4 BS4142 Assessment Calculations (Document Reference 6.4.11.4, Revision 2). | Deadline 4 | ES Figure 11.1 Sensitive Receptor Location Plan [REP4-014] ES Appendix 11.4 BS4142 Assessment Calculations [REP4-005] |
| Chapter 12 Traffic an | d Transport, associated Fig | ures and other Appendices | | |
| ES Chapter 12 Traffic and Transport [APP- 035] | To respond to grammatical error raised in ExQ1 [PD- 004] | Paragraph 12.7.14 currently refers to 940 movements A revised paragraph 12.7.14 will instead read: "Employees will travel in crew buses, with a maximum of 20 minibuses 40 movements) quoted in the Transport Assessment" | Deadline 2 | N/A |
| Chapter 13 Cumulativ | ve Effects, associated Figure | es and other Appendices | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| Chapter 14 Summary | [APP-037] | | | |

| Document reference | Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| ES Chapter 14 Summary [APP- 037] | Confirmation of off- road cable routing through Bishopton, removing the need for on-road cable optionality within Order Limits | Removal of significant effect in Bishopton during the construction phase Entry one in the Landscape and visual heading of Table 14-1 will be removed from this table as the significant effect no longer occurs | Deadline 2 | N/A |
| Non-Technical Summ | ary [APP-022] | | | |
| Non-Technical Summary [APP- 022] | ■ To respond to ExQ1 HEN 1.9 [PD-004] | Paragraph 9.3.2 currently reads "The Proposed Development will be either screened by existing vegetation and/or buildings, not visible due to topography or located at a distance whereby it would not be considered to lie within the setting of the assets." A revised paragraph 9.3.2 will instead read: "The Proposed Development will be either screened by existing vegetation and/or buildings, not visible due to topography or located at a distance whereby it would not be considered to lie within the setting of other assets that have been assessed during the Application." | Deadline 2 | N/A |

3. Management Plan updates

3.1.1. Table 3-1 has been provided to detail any amendments and/or updates to the Management Plans [APP-110 – 119, APP-149 and AS-001] which have been identified through the Examination.

Table 3-1 Management Plan updates

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|---|--|
| ES Appendix 2.6 Outline Cons | struction Environmental Management Plan (oCEMP) [APP-110] | | |
| To respond to and address comment (point 4ii) raised in Environment Agency's Relevant Representation [RR- 168] | Table 4-6 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID HFR20-CEMP) which secures: An impact assessment of Directional Drilling on fish and appropriate mitigation will be fully addressed within the ŒMP, which will be secured via a requirement of the DCQ, if drilling is to take place within the 10m buffer zone of a water course. The assessment should include, but not be limited to, the following: The distance from the watercourse that the drilling will take place. The depth and width of the drilling. Vibration and noise impact assessment on potential fish species residing in the watercourses. No phase of the authorised development may commence until a ŒMP for that phase has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the Environment Agency (EA). | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to and address comment (point 6) raised in Environment Agency's Relevant Representation [RR- 168] | Table 4-6 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID HFR21-CEMP) which secures: Further Assessment of impacts of Directional Drilling on groundwater and surface water interaction and control measures will be secured via a requirement of the | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Development Consent Order (DCO). The assessment should include, but not be limited to, the following: The depth of drilling. The ground conditions/superficial geology where drilling is occurring. The likelihood for groundwater to be intersected. | | submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to and address comment (page 9) raised in Environment Agency's Relevant Representation [RR- 026] | The EA wish to be consulted on this assessment Table 4-6 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID HFR22-CEMP) which secures the development of a Bentonite Breakout Plan which seeks to assess potential leakages, their effects and proposed mitigation, subject to consultation with the EA. | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to matters raised in a number of Relevant Representations | Paragraph 6.1.6 currently refers to the provision of a Community Liaison Officer whose role will be to lead discussions with local communities during the construction phase of the Proposed Development. A revised paragraph 6.1.6 will instead commit the Applicant to: " and a Community Liaison and Development Officer will be appointed to lead discussions with local communities and other developers during construction" Amendment to title and description of Community Liaison Officer at paragraph 3.1.1 | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to matters raised in a number of Relevant Representations | Table 4-5 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID LUSE4-CEMP) which secures the commitment to undertake ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys for land drains prior to the construction of the Proposed Development | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|---|---|
| To respond to Stockton-on- Tees Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-026] | Table 4-6 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID HFR21-CEMP) to avoiding the existing SuDS feature in Carlton Village at detailed design, and implement appropriate mitigation measures if it is unavoidable, which would secure the functionality of the SuDS feature is maintained. This amendment has been identified as more appropriate as a design parameter to be secured via the Design Approach Document. It is therefore included in the. | Deadline 2 | Design Approach Document (Document Reference 7.2, Revision 3) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to Stockton-on- Tees Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-026] | The Applicant will commit to undertaking further ground investigations prior to commencement of the Proposed Development, which will include specific consideration of the risk of radon gas. Addition of commitment LUSE5-CEMP to Table 4-5 of oCEMP. | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to Darlington Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-023] | Table 4-2 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID BD19-CEMP) which secures the following to prevent the entrainment of elvers and other small fish: If over pumping is needed, best practice techniques will be employed to avoid trapping fish. This will involve using a 2mm mesh, along with considering and adjusting the flow velocity to prevent fish from getting stuck in the mesh. | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to Darlington Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-023] | The Applicant will commit to delivering a lighting plan, in accordance with the updated Bats and Artificial Lighting at Night Guidance Note 08/23 (ILP, 2023), prior to the commencement of the Proposed Development. Addition of commitment BD9a-CEMP to Table 4-2 of oCEMP. | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to ExQ1 BIO 1.7 [PD-004] | Table 4-6 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID HFR23-CEMP) to engage with the Environment Agency during detailed design and provide an update to the Pollution and Spillage Response Plan should HDD | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|---|--|--|
| | be required within 10m of a watercourse, prior to the construction of the Proposed Development. | | submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to ExQ1 HEN 1.6 [PD-004] | ■ Table 4-4 of the oCEMP [APP-110] to be updated to include a commitment (ID CH3-CEMP) which secures the following to protect the Scheduled Monument Motte and Bailey Castle: Fencing off the scheduled area during construction which includes a 5m buffer to avoid accidental encroachment; Toolbox talks prior to commencement of work to inform contractors of requirements and procedures; and Archaeological monitoring will take place during works in the vicinity of the monument | Deadline 2 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to request from the EA [REP3-013] | • Addition of commitment BD20-CEMP to Table 4-2 of oCEMP. to protect otter and its habitat. This will include commitment to a pre-construction checking survey for otter to be completed in advance of any works within 50 m of any watercourse on the site, and production as part of the detailed CEMP for an Otter Protection Plan (OPP) to be implemented during construction of the Proposed Development. The OPP will include details relating to any proposed watercourse crossings, modifications to existing watercourse crossings and any other in-channel works: i) detailed drawings (location and construction) ii) timing of works iii) methods and materials to be used. | Deadline 5 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to request from Great Stainton Parish Meeting (Document Reference 8.4.11) | Addition of a commitment under HFR24-CEMP of Table 4-6 of the oCEMP to engage with neighbouring landowners at detailed design to further understand the existing drainage network and to seek to ensure any damage is avoided. | Deadline 5 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To reflect ES Chapter 11 Noise and Vibration Addendum – Construction | A commitment under NV4-CEMP of Table 4-7 of the oCEMP to engagement with equestrian-related businesses near Panel Area F in relation to panel installation works and relocation of horses during works to specific areas that may give rise to moderate noise effects. | Deadline 5 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Noise (Document Reference 8.17) | | | submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to ExQ2 HAQ.2.1 and request by Durham County Council | Include commitment in paragraph 2.3.18 of Outline CEMP to have regard to Durham County Council's Construction and Demolition Management Plan Guidance | Deadline 5 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to ExQ1 question TT.1.18 [PD004] | Amend paragraph 2.3.31 to include reference to engaging contractors subscribed to the Fleet Operator Recognition Scheme (FORS) | Deadline 5 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To reflect updated draft DCO submitted at Deadline 2 [REP2-029] | Amend paragraph 2.3.11 to change working hours on Saturday from 08.00 – 14.00 to 08.00 to 13.00. | Deadline 5 | Outline CEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.6, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to DBC SOCG point DBC044 and ExQ3 BIO 3.1 | Commitment ID BD20-CEMP to be updated to refer to pre-commencement surveys for otter to also include for water voles, to screen out water vole burrows at location of temporary crossings | Deadline 7 | To be updated in revision to Outline CEMP [REP5-012/013] at Deadline 8 |
| ■ To respond to ExQ3 BIO 3.2 | Addition to paragraph 2.3.33 to set out following best practice measures to be included: demarcation fencing to avoid construction activities in areas where the Himalayan Balsam has been identified to avoid encouraging its spread tool box talks to alert contractors to the issue and the risks | Deadline 7 | To be updated in revision to Outline CEMP [REP5-012/013] at Deadline 8 |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|---|--|
| | production of an invasive species protocol indicating how the principal contractor will prevent any INNS being introduced on construction plant with avoidance of tracking soil etc onto site | | |
| To respond to matter DBC090 in SoCG with DBC | • Amendment of commitment LUSE5-CEMP to Table 4-5 of oCEMP to state: 'The contractor will undertake further ground investigations prior to commencement of the Proposed Development. This will consider potential for land contamination and radon gas exposure.' | Deadline 7 | To be updated in revision to Outline CEMP [REP5-012/013] at Deadline 8 |
| ES Appendix 2.7 Outline Deco | ommissioning Environmental Management Plan (oDEMP) [APP-111] | | |
| To respond to Network Rail Deadline 2 submission [REP2- 056] | Update to include commitment at paragraph 3.2.1 of the oDEMP to engage with Network Rail on the development of the DEMP. | Deadline 4 | Outline DEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.7 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to ExQ1 question TT.1.18 [PD004] | Amend paragraph 2.13.1 to include reference to engaging contractors subscribed to the Fleet Operator Recognition Scheme (FORS) | Deadline 5 | Outline DEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.7, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To reflect updated draft DCO submitted at Deadline 2 [REP2-029] | Amend paragraph 2.4.11 to change working hours on Saturday from 08.00 – 14.00 to 08.00 to 13.00. | Deadline 5 | Outline DEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.7 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to ExQ2 HAQ.2.1 and request by Durham County Council | Include commitment in paragraph 2.9.1 of Outline DEMP to have regard to Durham County Council's Construction and Demolition Management Plan Guidance | Deadline 5 | Outline DEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.7 |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | | Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| ES Appendix 2.8 Outline Cons | struction Traffic Management Plan (oCTMP) [APP-112] | | |
| To respond to error raised in ExQ1 [PD-004] | Paragraph 5.3.23 currently refers to the commissioning stage. A revised paragraph 5.3.24 will instead read: "Therefore, the construction stage will be used" | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to question TT.1.17 in ExQ1 [PD-004] | Paragraph 7.5.4 will be updated to read: "Wheel washing equipment will be available and used onsite within the construction compound, where the site entrance meets the local road network, as required, to prevent the transfer of dirt and stones onto the public highway. All drivers will be required to check that their vehicle is free of dirt, stones and dust prior to departing from the site" | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to question TT.132 in ExQ1 [PD-004] | Paragraph 7.8.3 currently reads "In particular the Contractor is expected to meet the requirements of BS5288, 'Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites" A revised paragraph 7.8.3 will instead read: "The Applicant will require the contractor to comply with the requirements of BS5288, 'Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites" | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to question TT.1.25 in ExQ1 [PD-004] | Section 6.3 of the Outline CTMP [APP-112] erroneously refers to an access track being located at Salter's Lane. Paragraph 6.3.1 will be updated to instead refer to Lodge Lane. | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to question TT.1.28 in ExQ1 [PD-004] | Paragraph 7.5.2 will be updated to read: | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|--|---|---|
| | "Deliveries will be scheduled to avoid morning and evening peak hours. This will avoid HGV traffic arriving during the morning peak hours, creating conflict with local residents' commute or school departure times" | | Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to Darlington Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-023] | The Applicant will commit to undertaking pre-commencement condition surveys and regular inspections of the HGV routes to site. The Applicant will also commit any future Principal Contractor to advise DBC of any deterioration of the HGV routes attributable to the actions of the undertaker, and to resolve any damage either through payment of reasonable and proportionate compensation, or through acting as DBC's agent to rectify the highway directly. Provided in new paragraph 7.7.2 | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to Darlington Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-023] | Paragraph 7.5.4 will be updated to read: "Wheel washing facilities will consist of a water bowser with pressure washer where the site entrance meets the local road network. The bowser will contain water only and no other additives. The Applicant is also committed to exploring the need for the provision of wheel washing plant(s) as part of detailed design." | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to Durham County Council's Local Impact Report [REP1-025] | Document to be updated to include commitment to avoid routing HGVs through Durham's Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). Provided in new paragraph 5.3.21 of oCTMP. | Deadline 2 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To respond to Network Rail Deadline 2 submission [REP2- 056] | Update to include commitment at paragraph 1.1.4 of the oCTMP to engage with Network Rail on the development of the CTMP. | Deadline 6 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To reflect removal of Bishopton on-road cable | Remove references to on-road cable route through Bishopton in Table 7-1 | Deadline 6 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|---|---|---|
| route option [see AS-010 – AS-019] | | | Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| As agreed with Stillington and Whitton Parish Council under reference SW3 of the SoCG [REP3-009] | • New paragraph at 7.3.4 to state that in developing the detailed CTMP and construction worker transportation via the Travel Plan, regard must be had to avoiding the peak access times for Stillington Industrial Estate. | Deadline 5 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| As agreed with Stillington and Whitton Parish Council under reference SW4 of the SoCG [REP3-009] | Paragraph 7.2.3 added to state that the contractor must provide traffic safety briefings and information to its staff in relation to the local transport network, such as highlighting specific areas of risk or sensitivity to highway safety. | Deadline 5 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8, Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5 |
| To reflect updated draft DCO submitted at Deadline 2 [REP2-029] | Amend paragraph 2.4.2 to change working hours on Saturday from 08.00 – 14.00 to 08.00 to 13.00. Amend paragraph 5.3.15 to change staff departure time to 13:00 – 14:00. | Deadline 5 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To reflect commitment prior to DCO application to remove Mill Lane as a construction access | Remove reference to Mill Lane in Table 3-1 | Deadline 5 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To reflect response to Hearing Action Point ISH3-01 (Document Reference 8.20) | Commitment added at paragraph 5.3.13 to monitor staff vehicle occupancy. | Deadline 5 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To include additional information and detail on site accesses | Addition of two appendices to oCTMP containing information on site accesses. This is accompanied by additional information in relation to each panel area in Section 6 of the oCTMP. | Deadline 5 | Outline CTMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.8 |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|--|---|---|
| | | | Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to matter DBC082 in SoCG with DBC | Paragraph 7.5.4 will be updated to read: "Wheel washing equipment will be available and used onsite within the construction compound, where the site entrance / egress meets the local road network, as required, to prevent the transfer of dirt and stones onto the public highway. The Applicant is also committed to exploring the need for further provision of wheel washing facilities as part of the detailed design. All drivers will be required to check that their vehicle is free of dirt, stones and dust prior to departing from the site. At this stage, it is anticipated that: Wheel washing facilities will consist of a water bowser with pressure washer. The bowser will contain water only and no other additives. The Applicant is also committed to exploring the need for further provision of wheel washing facilities as part of the detailed design. This may include the use of specific wheel washing plant. | Deadline 7 | Revision to Outline CTMP to be submitted at Deadline 8 |
| ES Appendix 2.9 Outline Pollu | tion and Spillage Response Plan (oPSRP) [APP-113] | | |
| N/A | | | |
| ES Appendix 2.10 Outline Mat | erials Management Plan (oMMP) [APP-114] | | |
| N/A | | | |
| ES Appendix 2.11 Outline Site Wase Management Plan (oSWMP) [APP-115] | | | |
| N/A | | | |
| ES Appendix 2.12 Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (oSRMP) [APP-116] | | | |
| N/A | | | |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|--|--|--|
| ES Appendix 2.13 Outline Batt | tery Fire Safety Management Plan (oBFSMP) [APP-117] | | |
| N/A | | | |
| ES Appendix 2.14 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (oLEMP) [APP-118] | | | |
| To respond to Historic England's Relevant Representation [RR-207] | To provide a commitment to develop, during detailed design, the potential for interpretation of Bishopton Conservation Area in order to provide an enhancement for users of the PRoW network in the Conservation Area. This interpretation would be developed in conjunction with the relevant stakeholders, local community and the relevant local planning authority. Amendment to paragraph A.2.1.31 of oLEMP to include commitment. | Deadline 2 | Outline LEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.14 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to representations made by Durham Bird Club [REP1-045 / REP1-047] | Include commitment to reporting any deceased species found on site as part of maintenance activity, for review by an ecologist to establish whether there is any link to bird strike. Commitment added as new paragraph 6.2.5 to oLEMP. | Deadline 5 | Outline LEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.14 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To address Hearing Action Point ISH4-01 (Document Reference 8.20) and ExA Commentary of dDCO [PD- 010] | Inclusion of new paragraph at 8.2.3 to include for replacement of existing vegetation required for mitigation purposes, where it becomes damaged or diseased within first 5 years of operation. | Deadline 5 | Outline LEMP (Document Reference 6.4.2.14 Revision 2) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To address comments from DBC in matter DBC033 in SoCG with DBC | Add sentence to 3.2.1. to state 'Details on timing and approach to flailing will be agreed in the final LEMP with agreement of the local planning authority. The Principal Contractor will carry out a staggered approach to flailing to ensure impacts to biodiversity are minimised. | Deadline 7 | To be updated in revision to outline LEMP [REP5-020/21] at Deadline 8 |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|--|---|--|--|
| To address comments from DBC in matter DBC031 in SoCG with DBC | Paragraph 5.2.2. to be amended to state: 'All security fencing to panel areas to be regularly checked (every three months) to ensure it is safe and fit for purpose and ensure no deer or other large mammals have become trapped and badger access points remain operational.' Paragraph 6.3.6. to be amended to state: 'Regular checks (every three months) of fencing will occur to ensure badger access points remain operational.' Paragraph 8.3.7 to be amended to state: 'The security fencing itself will also be subject to regular checks (every three months) to ensure no deer or other large mammals have become trapped.' | Deadline 7 | To be updated in revision to outline LEMP [REP5-020/21] at Deadline 8 |
| ES Appendix 2.15 Outline Pub | lic Rights of Way Management Plan (oPRoWMP) [APP-119] | | |
| To reflect commitment made in response to ExQ1 LUS.1.1 [REP2-007] | • Amend Table 4-2 to identify which prows would be affected by on-road and off-road cable routes or preferred cable route. Text added to Table 4-2 to clarify where effects are caused by an 'on-road' or 'off-road' option. | Deadline 5 | Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (Document Reference 6.4.2.15, Revision 3) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To respond to matters raised by Stockton-on-Tees in their Local Impact Report [REP1- 026], paragraph 8.4-8.6. | Amend Table 3-1 to include an additional row in relation to Footpath FP4 within the Parish of Carlton. Amend Table 4-2 to include an additional row in relation to Footpath FP4 within the Parish of Carlton. | Deadline 5 | Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (Document Reference 6.4.2.15, Revision 3) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| To address comments raised by SBC in relation to an area of Open Space near to Norton Substation which would be crossed by the proposed cable works. | Add text to paragaph 3.5.2 to reflect interaction with recreational route. Amend Table 3-1 to include an additional row in relation to the recreational route that uses the disused railway line and passes through the Open Space. Amend Table 4-1 to make reference to recreational route. Amend Table 4-2 to include an additional row in relation to the recreational route that uses the disused railway line and passes through the Open Space. | Deadline 5 | Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (Document Reference 6.4.2.15, Revision 3) submitted at Deadline 5. |
| ES Appendix 8.5 Archaeologica | al Management Strategy (AMS) [APP-149] | | |

| Reason for amendment | Amendment | Deadline at time of inclusion in this Errata | Reference of amended document (where relevant) |
|---|---|--|---|
| N/A | | | |
| ES Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk A | Assessment and Drainage Strategy [AS-001] | | |
| Respond to the Environment Agency's Relevant Representation [RR-168] and Darlington Borough Council's Local Impact Report [REP1- 023] | Provision of 3no. new distinct sub-sections on the Sequential Test, the Sequential Approach and the Exception Test. The new sections can be found in Section 3.6 – 3.8 of the revised document and, as this has been submitted in whole at Deadline 2, has not been repeated here. | Deadline 2 | ES Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [REP4-004] submitted at Deadline 4. |
| Response to Hearing Action Point ISH3-03 (Document Reference 8.20) | Additional information in Section 3.6 to provide a breakdown of the proportion (as a percentage) of the Order Limits in each flood zone. | Deadline 5 | ES Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy (Document Reference 6.4.10.1, Revision 5) submitted at Deadline 5. |